

STATE TOBACCO SETTLEMENT SPENDING FOR TOBACCO PREVENTION 2000-2003

Although the tobacco settlement funds paid to the states were expected to fund new state tobacco-prevention efforts – and state citizens favor using state tobacco settlement funds for state efforts to prevent and reduce tobacco use – the vast majority of states are still failing to invest even the minimum amounts recommended by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

States	FY2000 Spending Level (\$millions)	FY2000 % of CDC's Recommended Minimum	FY2001 Spending Level (\$millions)	FY2001 % of CDC's Recommended Minimum	FY2002 Spending Level (\$millions)	FY2002 % of CDC's Recommended Minimum	FY2003 Spending Level (\$millions)	FY2003 % of CDC's Recommended Minimum	State Rank 2003	CDC Recommended Funding (\$millions)
Alabama	\$6.0	22.4%	\$6.0	22.4%	\$0.6	2.2%	\$0.36	1.3%	47th	26.74 - 71.24
Alaska	\$1.4	17.3%	\$1.4	17.3%	\$3.1	38.3%	\$5.0	61.8%	13th	8.09 - 16.51
Arizona	\$29.3	105.4%	\$34.5	124.1%	\$36.6	131.6%	\$18.3	65.7%	11th	27.79 - 71.10
Arkansas	\$0.0	0.0%	\$16.1	89.9%	\$16.4	91.5%	\$16.4	91.5%	7th	17.91 - 46.45
California	\$88.2	53.4%	\$114.6	69.4%	\$134.5	81.5%	\$88.4	53.5%	17th	165.10 - 442.40
Colorado	\$13.2	53.8%	\$12.7	51.7%	\$12.7	51.8%	\$15.0	61.1%	14th	24.55 - 63.26
Connecticut	\$4.0	18.8%	\$1.0	4.7%	\$0.58	2.7%	\$0.58	2.7%	46th	21.24 - 53.90
Delaware	\$0.0	0.0%	\$2.8	32.4%	\$5.5	63.2%	\$5.0	57.9%	15th	8.63 - 18.46
DC	\$0.0	0.0%	\$0.0	0.0%	\$0.0	0.0%	\$0.0	0.0%	51st	7.48 - 14.57
Florida	\$44.0	56.1%	\$44.0	56.1%	\$29.8	38.0%	\$37.5	47.8%	21st	78.38 - 221.26
Georgia	\$15.8	37.1%	\$15.8	37.1%	\$20.8	48.8%	\$19.1	44.8%	23rd	42.59 - 114.34
Hawaii	\$9.7	89.5%	\$9.3	86.3%	\$4.2	38.9%	\$10.3	95.1%	5th	10.78 - 23.45
Idaho	\$1.2	10.9%	\$1.2	10.9%	\$1.1	10.0%	\$1.3	11.5%	41st	11.04 - 24.09
Illinois	\$28.6	44.0%	\$28.6	44.1%	\$45.9	70.7%	\$12.0	18.5%	36th	64.91 - 179.05
Indiana	\$35.0	100.6%	\$35.0	100.6%	\$32.5	93.4%	\$32.5	93.4%	6th	34.78 - 95.80
Iowa	\$9.4	48.3%	\$9.4	48.6%	\$9.4	48.7%	\$5.1	26.3%	35th	19.35 - 48.71
Kansas	\$0.5	2.8%	\$0.5	2.8%	\$0.5	2.8%	\$0.5	2.8%	45th	18.05 - 44.69
Kentucky	\$5.8	23.1%	\$5.8	23.1%	\$5.5	21.9%	\$3.0	12.0%	40th	25.09 - 69.90
Louisiana	\$4.1	15.1%	\$4.1	15.1%	\$0.5	1.8%	\$8.0	29.5%	33rd	27.13 - 71.43
Maine	\$18.8	168.0%	\$18.8	168.0%	\$13.8	122.9%	\$15.2	135.6%	1st	11.19 - 25.35
Maryland	\$30.0	99.0%	\$30.0	99.0%	\$20.1	66.2%	\$30.0	99.0%	4th	30.30 - 78.60
Massachusetts	\$43.1	122.3%	\$43.1	122.3%	\$48.0	136.2%	\$4.8	13.6%	38th	35.24 - 92.76
Michigan	\$0.0	0.0%	\$0.0	0.0%	\$0.0	0.0%	\$0.0	0.0%	51st	54.80 - 154.56
Minnesota	\$35.0	122.3%	\$35.0	122.3%	\$28.9	101.0%	\$37.6	131.2%	2nd	28.62 - 74.01
Mississippi	\$31.0	165.0%	\$31.0	165.0%	\$20.0	106.4%	\$20.0	106.4%	3rd	18.79 - 46.80
Missouri	\$0.0	0.0%	\$0.0	0.0%	\$0.0	0.0%	\$0.0	0.0%	51st	32.77 - 91.36
Montana	\$3.5	37.4%	\$3.5	37.4%	\$0.5	5.3%	\$0.38	4.1%	44th	9.36 - 19.68
Nebraska	\$7.0	52.6%	\$7.0	52.6%	\$7.0	52.6%	\$7.0	52.6%	18th	13.31 - 31.04
Nevada	\$3.9	29.0%	\$3.0	22.3%	\$4.3	31.7%	\$4.3	31.8%	31st	13.48 - 32.99

States	FY2000 Spending Level (\$millions)	FY2000 % of CDC's Recommended Minimum	FY2001 Spending Level (\$millions)	FY2001 % of CDC's Recommended Minimum	FY2002 Spending Level (\$millions)	FY2002 % of CDC's Recommended Minimum	FY2003 Spending Level (\$millions)	FY2003 % of CDC's Recommended Minimum	State Rank 2003	CDC Recommended Funding (\$millions)
New Hampshire	\$3.0	27.5%	\$3.0	27.5%	\$3.0	27.5%	\$3.0	27.5%	34th	10.89 - 24.77
New Jersey	\$18.6	41.3%	\$30.0	66.6%	\$30.0	66.6%	\$30.0	66.6%	10th	45.07 - 121.33
New Mexico	\$2.3	16.4%	\$2.3	16.8%	\$5.0	36.5%	\$5.0	36.5%	28th	13.71 - 31.95
New York	\$30.0	31.3%	\$30.0	31.3%	\$40.0	41.7%	\$40.0	41.7%	25th	95.83 - 269.30
North Carolina	\$0.0	0.0%	\$0.0	0.0%	\$0.0	0.0%	\$6.2	14.6%	37th	42.59 - 118.63
North Dakota	\$0.0	0.0%	\$0.0	0.0%	\$2.5	30.9%	\$2.5	30.6%	32nd	8.16 - 16.55
Ohio	\$60.0	97.2%	\$60.0	97.2%	\$21.7	35.1%	\$27.0	43.7%	24th	61.74 - 173.68
Oklahoma	\$6.3	28.9%	\$6.3	28.9%	\$1.7	7.9%	\$2.5	11.2%	42nd	21.83 - 56.31
Oregon	\$8.5	40.2%	\$8.5	40.2%	\$11.3	53.2%	\$11.1	52.5%	19th	21.13 - 52.84
Pennsylvania	\$0.0	0.0%	\$0.0	0.0%	\$41.4	63.1%	\$52.0	79.3%	8th	65.57 - 184.76
Rhode Island	\$2.3	23.3%	\$2.3	23.3%	\$3.3	33.4%	\$3.3	33.4%	30th	9.89 - 21.91
South Carolina	\$1.8	7.3%	\$1.8	7.5%	\$1.6	6.7%	\$2.0	8.4%	43rd	23.91 - 62.01
South Dakota	\$1.7	19.6%	\$1.7	19.6%	\$2.7	31.1%	\$2.9	33.7%	29th	8.69 - 18.21
Tennessee	\$0.0	0.0%	\$0.0	0.0%	\$0.0	0.0%	\$0.0	0.0%	51st	32.23 - 89.08
Texas	\$9.0	8.7%	\$9.3	9.0%	\$12.5	12.1%	\$12.5	12.1%	39th	103.29 - 284.74
Utah	\$6.0	39.4%	\$6.0	39.4%	\$6.0	39.4%	\$7.0	46.0%	22nd	15.23 - 33.38
Vermont	\$6.5	82.2%	\$6.5	82.2%	\$5.5	70.0%	\$5.2	65.7%	12th	7.91 - 15.94
Virginia	\$13.1	33.7%	\$12.6	32.4%	\$19.2	49.3%	\$22.2	57.1%	16th	38.87 - 106.85
Washington	\$15.0	45.0%	\$15.0	45.0%	\$17.5	52.5%	\$26.2	78.7%	9th	33.34 - 89.38
West Virginia	\$5.9	41.3%	\$5.9	41.7%	\$5.9	41.3%	\$5.9	41.3%	26th	14.16 - 35.37
Wisconsin	\$21.2	68.0%	\$21.2	68.0%	\$15.5	49.7%	\$15.5	49.7%	20th	31.16 - 82.38
Wyoming	\$0.9	12.2%	\$0.9	12.2%	\$0.9	12.2%	\$3.0	40.7%	27th	7.38 - 14.40

* These states have not yet made spending decisions regarding their tobacco settlement funds. the spending amounts listed represent the most current spending proposal.

† Arizona, California, Louisiana, Massachusetts, New Jersey, Oregon, Washington & Utah fund all or part of their tobacco prevention programs from state tobacco tax revenues.